**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 3874 OF 2006**

**IN**

**INCOME TAX APPEAL (LODGING) NO. 1956 OF 2006**

The Commissioner of Income- Tax .... Appellant
Mumbai

Vs.

M/s Chandragupta Traders Pvt. .... Respondent
Ltd.

Mr. P.S. Sahadevan for Appellant.
Mr. J.D. Mistry with Raj Darak for Respondent.

**CORAM : F.I. REBELLO, &**
**J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.**
**DATE : 29TH OCTOBER, 2007**

P.C.:

. The delay is less than 200 days. Considering the practical difficulties which the Department was facing including referring the matters to the Law Department, Government of India for drafting the Appeal Memo and considering the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, in our opinion, the cause shown would amount to sufficient cause. Hence, delay condoned. The Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. Office to register the Appeal.

**( J.P. DEVADHAR, J.)** **(F.I. REBELLO, J.)**